

Exhibit 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

AUTHENTICOM, INC.,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Case No. 17-CV-318-JDP

CDK GLOBAL, LLC and
THE REYNOLDS AND REYNOLDS COMPANY,

Madison, Wisconsin
June 26, 2017
9:03 a.m.

Defendants.

STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT OF FIRST DAY OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING
(MORNING SESSION)

HELD BEFORE CHIEF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE JAMES D. PETERSON

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

Godfrey & Kahn S.C.
BY: JENNIFER L. GREGOR
One East Main Street, Suite 500
Madison, Wisconsin 53701

Kellogg, Hansen, Todd, Figel & Frederick, P.L.L.C.
BY: MICHAEL N. NEMELKA
AARON M. PANNER
DAVID L. SCHWARZ
DEREK T. HO
JOANNA T. ZHANG
JOSHUA HAFENBRACK
KEVIN J. MILLER
1615 M Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036

Jennifer L. Dobbratz, RMR, CRR, CRC
U.S. District Court Federal Reporter
United States District Court
120 North Henry Street, Rm. 410
Madison, Wisconsin 53703
(608) 261-5709

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

Also appearing: STEPHEN COTTRELL, Authenticom
STEVE ROBB, IT Support

For the Defendant CDK Global, LLC:

Foley & Lardner
BY: JEFFREY A. SIMMONS
150 East Gilman Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53701

Mayer Brown LLP
BY: BRITT M. MILLER
MATTHEW D. PROVANCE
71 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Mayer Brown LLP
BY: MARK W. RYAN
1999 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Also appearing: LEE BRUNZ, General Counsel, CDK Global, LLC
NICK HEY, IT Support

For the Defendant The Reynolds and Reynolds Company:

Perkins Coie LLP
BY: CHARLES G. CURTIS, JR.
1 East Main Street, Suite 201
Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton, LLP
BY: MICHAEL P. A. COHEN
2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 100
Washington, D.C. 20006

Gibbs & Bruns LLP
BY: AUNDREA K. GULLEY
BRIAN T. ROSS
BRICE A. WILKINSON
1100 Louisiana Street, Suite 5300
Houston, Texas 77002

Also appearing: ROBERT SCHAEFER and KELLY HALL,
The Reynolds and Reynolds Company

1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. It's admitted.

2 BY MR. NEMELKA:

3 Q All right. Mr. Cottrell, what -- first of all, let's look
4 at the email. What is this email?

5 A Essentially this is from Reynolds' counsel saying that this
6 was pursuant to the conversation that I had with Mr. Schaefer,
7 and they were presenting the wind-down access agreement for our
8 consideration.

9 Q And what is the attachment to that email?

10 A That's the actual agreement.

11 Q And what did Reynolds propose you do in this agreement?

12 A Reynolds essentially suggested that we exit the business.
13 They would protect our profiles, not block us for a period of 12
14 months. In exchange we were required to assist them, make best
15 efforts to move everyone -- all of our customers to the Reynolds
16 Certified Interface. At the end of that period of time, we had
17 to exit the business. We couldn't sell our services, our IP.
18 We couldn't consult. Basically we could never touch a Reynolds
19 and Reynolds system in any way, shape, or form.

20 Q Did you have any conversations with anyone at Reynolds
21 about what was sent here?

22 A I had conversations with Mr. Schaefer.

23 Q And did you talk to Mr. Schaefer in person or on the phone?

24 A I had conversations with Mr. Schaefer on the telephone.

25 Q And did you talk to Mr. Schaefer after you got this?

1 A I did.

2 Q On the telephone?

3 A I did.

4 Q In May 2015?

5 A I did.

6 Q Tell me as close as you can in his own words, as you can
7 remember, what Mr. Schaefer said on the call?

8 A He said, "As you know, Mr. Brockman is adamant about
9 removing all third parties from our DMS system. This is your
10 last chance. I suggest that you consider this carefully. We've
11 made agreements with the other major DMS providers to support
12 each other's third-party access agreements and to block
13 independent integrators such as Authenticom."

14 Q What did you say in response to these comments by
15 Mr. Schaefer?

16 A I said that that was not in the best interest of
17 Authenticom, wasn't in the best interest of the dealers, wasn't
18 in the best interests of the vendors or the industry as a whole
19 and that we were going to continue to compete.

20 Q Did anyone at CDK confirm what Mr. Schaefer said about CDK
21 and Reynolds agreeing to block independent integrators like
22 Authenticom?

23 A They did.

24 Q Do you recall that conversation?

25 A Vividly.

1 Q Who was that conversation with?

2 A Dan McCray.

3 Q Who is Dan McCray?

4 A Dan McCray was essentially Mr. Schaefer's counterpart at
5 CDK at that point in time. He was the vice president in charge
6 of their 3PA program.

7 Q Where did this conversation take place?

8 A In Las Vegas, Nevada, at the National Auto Dealers
9 Association convention.

10 Q When did it take place?

11 A April 3rd of 2016.

12 Q How did the conversation start?

13 A It's not --

14 THE COURT: What was the date?

15 THE WITNESS: April 3rd, 2016.

16 BY MR. NEMELKA:

17 Q How did the conversation start?

18 A Mr. McCray approached our booth wanting to have a
19 conversation with me. I was unavailable. He left his business
20 card. I called his cell phone. He said, "I'll be right over."
21 Unfortunately, a major opportunity stepped into the booth before
22 Mr. McCray got there, so when he got there, I was tied up. I
23 stepped out for a moment and told him I'd meet him in his booth.

24 Q Okay. And then as you approached the booth, what did you
25 see?

1 A Mr. McCray was standing off to the side of the booth with,
2 I believe, three other individuals. Among them was Kevin
3 Distelhorst.

4 Q As you approached the booth, what happened?

5 A It was kind of awkward. You know, I approached them to
6 greet them, and everybody was cordial, but there was something
7 in the air. I didn't know what it was but kind of felt like I
8 was the -- part of a joke.

9 Q Okay. And what did Mr. McCray say to you when you
10 approached?

11 A He said, "Let's take a walk."

12 Q I'm sorry. Go ahead.

13 A Basically grabbed me by the arm, not forcibly but directed
14 me down a service ramp off the convention floor through a set of
15 double doors and --

16 Q As you got to that area, what did Mr. McCray tell you?

17 A Mr. McCray essentially confirmed the conversation that I
18 had with Mr. Schaefer previously by saying, "You may or may not
19 be aware we've entered into an agreement with Reynolds and
20 Reynolds, okay? That agreement specifically says that we're
21 going to support each other's third-party interfaces, and we're
22 working collaboratively to remove all hostile integrators from
23 our DMS system."

24 Q Did he say anything else?

25 A He said, quote, "I have clocked you on 2,000 of our

1 systems, and I can flip the switch at any time."

2 Q Did he say anything about the blocking of independent
3 integrators?

4 A Yes. He said that Reynolds and Reynolds, as I mentioned
5 before -- or excuse me, that CDK was working with the other
6 major DMS providers to specifically block hostile integrators.

7 Q How many major DMS providers are there?

8 A Two, CDK and Reynolds and Reynolds.

9 Q How did -- how did he end the conversation?

10 A Dan looked me in the eye and he said, "I admire you. I
11 admire your company." He said, "I'd really like to see you get
12 something for it before I fucking destroy it."

13 Q What did you say in response to Mr. McCray?

14 A Again, I said that I was shocked, okay, that they would
15 collaborate in such a form and it was not in the best interests
16 of the automobile industry, wasn't in the best interests of the
17 dealers and the vendors. It certainly was not in Authenticom's
18 employees' best interests or my best interests, and I told him
19 to pack a lunch because it was going to be a tough fight.

20 Q You told him to pack a lunch?

21 A I did.

22 Q Okay. What does that mean?

23 A Basically means that it wasn't going to be something easy
24 that he was going to be able to handle over morning coffee.
25 That it was going to be a drawn-out process, and he was going to

1 need to pack a lunch.

2 Q Did you take notes after that conversation happened?

3 A I did.

4 MR. NEMELKA: We'd like to introduce Plaintiff's
5 Exhibit 55A.

6 MR. RYAN: No objection, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. Good. 55A is admitted.

8 BY MR. NEMELKA:

9 Q Mr. Cottrell, what is Exhibit 55A?

10 A 55A is a copy of the Word document that I wrote the next
11 morning.

12 Q Okay. Why did you take notes the next morning after this
13 conversation?

14 A Honestly, I didn't sleep that night. It kept playing over
15 and over in my head. I had confirmation that two multibillion
16 dollar corporations were, you know, conspiring to put me out of
17 business. The words that Mr. McCray used were almost identical
18 to the words that Mr. Schaefer had used, and I didn't know what
19 to do, so I thought it was important to preserve that
20 conversation.

21 Q You can take it down, Steve.

22 After your conversation did Mr. McCray, did CDK take any
23 action to destroy your business?

24 A They did.

25 Q What did they do?

1 2:00. All right. See you then.

2 (Recess taken at 12:49 p.m.)

3 ***

4 I, JENNIFER L. DOBBRATZ, Certified Realtime and Merit
5 Reporter in and for the State of Wisconsin, certify that the
6 foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings held
7 on the 26th day of June, 2017, before the Honorable James D.
8 Peterson, Chief U.S. District Judge for the Western District of
9 Wisconsin, in my presence and reduced to writing in accordance
10 with my stenographic notes made at said time and place.

11 Dated this 5th day of July, 2017.

12

13

14

15

16

/s/ Jennifer L. Dobbratz

17

Jennifer L. Dobbratz, RMR, CRR, CRC
Federal Court Reporter

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to
25 any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the
direct control and/or direction of the certifying reporter.